

Ę

Architectural Support for Low Overhead Memory Safety Checks

Mohamed Tarek Ibn Ziad, Miguel Arroyo, Evgeny Manzhosov, Ryan Piersma and Simha Sethumadhavan



Columbia University 06/16/2021

Memory Safety is a serious problem!

Computing Sep 6

= = =

Apple says China's Uighur Muslims were targeted in the recent iPhone hacking campaign

The tech giant gave a rare statement that bristled at Google's analysis of the novel hacking operation.

Memory Safety is a serious problem!

Computing Sep 6

Apple says China's Uighur Muslims were targeted in the recent iPhone hacking campaign

The tech giant gave a rare statement that bristled at Google's analysis of the novel hacking operation.

EDITOR'S PICK | 42,742 views | Nov 21, 2018, 07:00am

Exclusive: Saudi Dissidents Hit With Stealth iPhone Spyware Before Khashoggi's Murder

Memory Safety is a serious problem!

Computing Sep 6

Apple says China's Uighur Muslims were targeted in the recent iPhone hacking campaign

The tech giant gave a rare statement that bristled at Google's analysis of the novel hacking operation.

The New York Times

WhatsApp Rushes to Fix Security Flaw Exposed in Hacking of Lawyer's Phone EDITOR'S PICK | 42,742 views | Nov 21, 2018, 07:00am

Exclusive: Saudi Dissidents Hit With Stealth iPhone Spyware Before Khashoggi's Murder



It's easy to make mistakes



It's easy to make mistakes



SEGFAULT!

Prevalence of Memory Safety Vulns



Microsoft Product CVEs

F

Prevalence of Memory Safety Vulns



Microsoft Product CVEs

Non-memory Safety 53.3%

Google OSS-Fuzz bugs from 2016-2018.







ATTACKERS



MEMORY SAFETY

Attackers prefer Memory Safety Vulns



Ę

11







Modern software design is useful for security

Modern software design is useful for security



Increasing adoption of binning allocators

- Maintains memory locality.
- Implicit lookup of allocation information.

Modern software design is useful for security



Increasing adoption of binning allocators

- Maintains memory locality.
- Implicit lookup of allocation information.



The benefits of No-FAT

Ę





```
40. int main() {
41. char* ptr = malloc(12);
42. ...
50. }
```

Ę





••• Virtual Memory



••• Virtual Memory

```
40. int main() {
41. char* ptr = malloc(12);
42. ...
50. }
```

Ę







C

64B



Ē





Ę

40.

41.

42.

50.







Virtual Memory





From Bins to Security

The Problem

Ē



Virtual Memory

Ę



Virtual Memory

```
40. int main() {
41. char* ptr = malloc(12);
42. ptr[1] = 'A';
43. ...
50. }
```

Ę

Ę

```
40. int main() {
41. char* ptr = malloc(12);
42. ptr[1] = 'A'; store ptr[1], 'A'
43. ...
50. }
```









F

s_store ptr[1], 'A', ptr_{trusted_base}

Ę


Ę

s_store ptr[1], 'A', ptr_{trusted_base}



Ę

s_store ptr[1], A', ptr_{trusted_base}







The **allocation size** information is made **available** to the hardware to verify memory accesses.





```
40. int main() {
41. char* ptr = malloc(12); ptr<sub>trusted_base</sub>
42. ptr[1] = 'A'; s_store ptr[1], 'A', ptr<sub>trusted_base</sub>
43. ...
50. }
```



```
40. int main() {
41. char* ptr = malloc(12); ptr<sub>trusted base</sub>
42. ptr[1] = 'A'; s_store ptr[1], 'A', ptr<sub>trusted base</sub>
43. ...
49. foo(ptr);
50. }
51. void Foo (char*)xptr){
52.
   ...
53. xptr[7] = 'B';
54. ...
60. }
```

```
40. int main() {
41. char* ptr = malloc(12); ptr<sub>trusted base</sub>
42. ptr[1] = 'A'; s_store ptr[1], 'A', ptr<sub>trusted base</sub>
43. ...
49. foo(ptr);
50. }
51. void Foo (char* xptr){
52.
       ...
    xptr[7] = 'B'; > s_store xptr[7], 'A', xptr<sub>trusted base</sub>
53.
54.
       ...
60. }
```

```
40. int main() {
41. char* ptr = malloc(12); ptr<sub>trusted base</sub>
42. ptr[1] = 'A'; s_store ptr[1], 'A', ptr<sub>trusted base</sub>
43. ...
49. foo(ptr);
50. }
51. void Foo (char* xptr){
52.
       ...
53. xptr[7] = 'B'; s_store xptr[7], 'A' xptr<sub>trusted base</sub>
54.
       ...
                                                  How do we get this?
60. }
```

```
40. int main() {
41. char* ptr = malloc(12); ptr<sub>trusted base</sub>
42. ptr[1] = 'A'; s_store ptr[1], 'A', ptr<sub>trusted base</sub>
43. ...
49. foo(ptr);
50. }
51. void Foo (char* xptr){
                             xptr<sub>trusted base</sub> <- compBase(xptr[7])</pre>
52.
       •••
53. xptr[7] = 'B'; s_store xptr[7], 'A', xptr<sub>trusted base</sub>
54.
       ...
60. }
```

Ē

xptr_{trusted base} <- compBase(xptr[7])</pre>

Ę

xptr_{trusted base} < compBase(xptr[7])</pre>



Ę

xptr_{trusted base} < compBase(xptr[7])</pre>







```
40. int main() {
41. char* ptr = malloc(12); ptr<sub>trusted_base</sub>
42. ptr[1] = 'A'; s_store ptr[1], 'A', ptr<sub>trusted_base</sub>
43. ...
49. foo(ptr);
50. }
```





The Problem

Ē

The Problem

Ę





The **Buf2Ptr** transformation promotes intra-allocation buffers to standalone allocations.



The Problem

Ē



The Problem

Ē



The Problem

F



Ę









F

1 s_store Addr, Dest, BaseAddr









Ę

verifyBounds Addr, BaseAddr





Exceptions are thrown in the case that the target memory address does not match BaseAddr.





Exceptions are thrown in the case that the target memory address does not match BaseAddr.







Ē






NO changes to the memory subsystems!















Buffer Over-/Under-flows Cannot corrupt memory.

Ē







Buffer Over-/Under-flows Cannot corrupt memory.

Ę

Tag is propagated with the allocation base address.


```
// mispredicted branch
if (i < sizeof(a)) {
   secret = a[i];
   // secret is leaked
   val = b[64 * secret];
}</pre>
```

Buffer Over-/Under-flows Cannot corrupt memory.

Spectre-V1

Speculative loads are aware of the legitimate allocation-bounds.

// mispredicted branch
if (i < sizeof(a)) {
 secret = a[i];
 // secret is leaked
 val = b[64 * secret];
}</pre>

3

Spectre-V1

Speculative loads are aware of the legitimate allocation-bounds.

```
// mispredicted branch
if (i < sizeof(a)) {
   secret = a[i];
   // secret is leaked
   val = b[64 * secret];
}</pre>
```

 Speculative out-ofbounds loads are not allowed to change the cache state or forward values to dependent instructions.

<pre>// mispredicted branch if (i < sizeof(a)) {</pre>		
<pre>secret = a[i]; Detected!</pre>		
<pre>// secret is leaked val = b[64 * secret]; }</pre>		

 Speculative out-ofbounds loads are not allowed to change the cache state or forward values to dependent instructions.

Performance

Ē

Hardware Modifications

Our measurements show minimal latency/area/power overheads.

Ę

Hardware Modifications

Our measurements show minimal latency/area/power overheads.

Software Modifications

 • Our special loads/stores do not change the binary size.

Hardware Modifications

Our measurements show minimal latency/area/power overheads.

Software Modifications

- Our special loads/stores do not change the binary size.
- We verify pointer bounds before storing them to memory.

Hardware Modifications

Our measurements show minimal latency/area/power overheads.

Software Modifications

- Our special loads/stores do not change the binary size.
- We verify pointer bounds before storing them to memory.
- We compute the allocation base address of arbitrary pointers when they are loaded from memory.

We emulate No-FAT on x86_64 by modifying LLVM to emit new instructions.

- **CompBase** is emulated using two multiplications followed by a **store**.
- VerifyBounds is emulated using dummy stores.

Ę

We reduce the average runtime overheads of full memory safety **from 100% to 8%!**

NoFAT

Related Work

	Metadata	Concerns
Memory Tagging	N-bits per pointer & allocation	Spatial & temporal safety limited by tag width

	Metadata	Concerns
Memory Tagging	N-bits per pointer & allocation	Spatial & temporal safety limited by tag width
Tripwires	N-bits per allocation	Susceptible to non-adjacent overflows

	Metadata	Concerns
Memory Tagging	N-bits per pointer & allocation	Spatial & temporal safety limited by tag width
Tripwires	N-bits per allocation	Susceptible to non-adjacent overflows
Explicit Base & Bounds	N-bits per pointer or allocation	Breaks compatibility with the rest of the system (eg. unprotected libraries).

	Metadata	Concerns
Memory Tagging	N-bits per pointer & allocation	Spatial & temporal safety limited by tag width
Tripwires	N-bits per allocation	Susceptible to non-adjacent overflows
Explicit Base & Bounds	N-bits per pointer or allocation	Breaks compatibility with the rest of the system (eg. unprotected libraries).
No-FAT	Fixed (1K) bits per process	Requires binning allocator

Takeaways

Ę

Having no metadata

- ✓ Improves Fuzzing
- ✓ Improves Runtime Security
- ✓ Improves Resilience to Spectre-V1

Takeaways

No

FAT

ZeRØ

Having no metadata

Improves Fuzzing
 Improves Runtime Security
 Improves Resilience to Spectre-V1

For applications where an 8% overhead is too much, checkout ZeRØ.

https://isca21.arroyo.me

Takeaways

Having no metadata

Improves Fuzzing
 Improves Runtime Security
 Improves Resilience to Spectre-V1

The benefits of having allocation sizes as an architectural feature can go well beyond memory safety!